Language and Computation week 4, Thursday, February 6, 2014

Tamás Biró Yale University tamas.biro@yale.edu http://www.birot.hu/courses/2014-LC/



Practical matters

- Post-reading: JM 23.1.1, 4.1-4.3
- **Pre-reading:** JM 5.1-5.4 (eventually: chapter 7)
- **Python:** this week H 3 and 4; next week H 5.
- Previous Problem Set
- Next Problem Set: published tomorrow, due Tu 02/18.
- Session: pseudo-codes.

Today

- Text classification
- Machine learning (evaluation metrics)
- N-grams
- Smoothing (basics)
- Probability (refresher)

Next time: Markov-models



Comparing documents with *n*-grams



Task: document categorization/classification

Many documents entering a news agency, to be classified by

- language
- topic
- author
- genre
- political preference etc.



Machine learning: the basic idea

- Given set X (e.g., of [possible] documents) Given set Y of tags (e.g., of languages, of topics, of authors, etc.)
- Unknown correct mapping $M^*: X \to Y$
- Training set of learning data $\{(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots (x_n, y_n)\}$, where $(x_i, y_i) \in X \times Y$, $y_i = M^*(x_i)$,
- is employed to identify some mapping $M: X \to Y \in \mathcal{M}$
- such that M approximates M^* on the **test set** X': maximize performance on $|\{x \in X' | M(x) = M^*(x)\}|$.



Excursus: Evaluating a document classifier

 a_{ij} : number of documents categorized by M as i, and being in reality (categorized by M^*) as j.

In reality:	English	French	Spanish
Categorized as English	a_{ee}	a_{ef}	a_{es}
Categorized as French	a_{fe}	a_{ff}	a_{fs}
Categorized as Spanish	a_{se}	a_{sf}	a_{ss}

Accuracy =
$$\frac{a_{ee} + a_{ff} + a_{ss}}{\sum_{i,j \in \{e,f,s\}} a_{ij}}$$

Excursus: Evaluating a binary classifier

In reality:	positive	negative
Categorized as positive	true positives	false positives
Categorized as negative	false negatives	true negatives

$$Accuracy = \frac{\#tp}{\#tp + \#fp + \#fn + \#tn}$$



Excursus: Evaluating a binary classifier

In reality:	positive	negative
Categorized as positive	true positives	false positives
Categorized as negative	false negatives	true negatives

Precision =
$$\frac{\# tp}{\# tp + \# fp}$$

Recall = $\frac{\# tp}{\# tp + \# fn}$
F-measure = $\frac{2PR}{P+R}$



The practice of doing Machine Learning

- Define task: text classification, disambiguation, parse selection, part-of-speech tagging, information retrieval, etc.
- Define your goal: which **evaluation metric** most important?
- Choose a training set/corpus and a test set/corpus.
- Choose a machine learning technique (entails \mathcal{M})
- Go!



Back to text classification. A text as. . .

- a meaning, a message
- as a series of sentences
- a string of words
- a bag of words
- a series of *n*-grams:
 - a string of n characters / letters / words / etc.
 - overlapping or non-overlapping

Vector Space Models and the Cosine Metric

- $f(w_i, D)$: frequency of word / *n*-gram w_i in document D.
- Given document D, create vector $(f(w_1, D), f(w_2, D), \dots, f(w_n, D))$
- Distance of two vectors: use their **cosine distance** (normalized dot product):

$$d(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \cdot b_i}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2} \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i^2}}$$

• For each $y \in Y$, create reference vector D_y . To categorize document D, find closest reference vector.



Vector Space Models

Document D and references D_y characterized by a vector of

- word frequencies, including / excluding **stopwords**
- character frequencies aka *unigrams* of words, letters. . .
- character *bigrams* frequencies
- word *bigram* frequencies
- trigrams, . . . *n*-grams (aka *n*-tuples)
- which do / do not overlap



Vector Space Models

How to create the reference vector D_y for each $y \in Y$?

- Best guess: from the training set.
- Optimal if training set = test set.
- But what about **generalizability**?
- Goal: optimize on yet-unknown test set.
- Training set \rightarrow held-out sets (and devset) \rightarrow test-set.



Vector Space Models

How to create the reference vector D_y for each $y \in Y$?

- Best guess: from the training set.
- Word/letter/*n*-gram frequencies estimated from training set.
- The **sparse data problem**, as well as
- room for unknown words (aka out-of-vocabulary words)?
- Therefore, obtain a better approximation of the ideal D_y (the one "used" by M^*) by introducing **smoothing**.

Smoothing (overview only)

- N: corpus size (# of tokens)
 V: vocabulary size (# of types)
 c_i: count of word (type) w_i.
- Unsmoothed Maximum Likelihood Estimate:

$$P(w_i) = \frac{c_i}{N}$$



Smoothing (overview only)

N: corpus size (# of tokens)
 V: vocabulary size (# of types, including those with 0 frequency!)
 c_i: count of word (type) w_i.

• Laplace Smoothing or add-one smoothing:

$$P_L(w_i) = \frac{c_i + 1}{N + V}$$

• as if we used $c_i^* = (c_i + 1) \frac{N}{N+V}$ in MLE, discounting c_i and reallocating probability mass to unseen words.



Smoothing (overview only)

- N: corpus size (# of tokens), c_i: count of word (type) w_i
 N_c: # of types that occur c times (frequency of frequency)
- Good-Turing Smoothing/discounting:

$$P_{GT}(w_i) = \frac{(c_i + 1)N_{c_i+1}}{NN_{c_i}}$$

- as if we used $c_i^* = (c_i + 1) \frac{N_{c_i+1}}{N_{c_i}}$ in MLE, discounting c_i and reallocating probability mass to unseen words.
- Count the hapaxes \rightarrow estimate the count of types unseen in training: $P_{GT}(\text{unseen}) = N_1/N$.



From frequency to probability to scores

What is "probability" P?

- Observed frequency in the training set/corpus?
- Expected frequency in the test set/corpus?
- Expected frequency in the "entire" set/corpus X?
- A technicality that sums up to 1?



Basics of probability

- **Sample space**: possible outcomes of an experiment.
- **Event**: a subset of the sample space.
- Given set X of events (a random variable)),
- probability $P: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$.
- P(X) = 1, $P(A \lor B) = P(A) + P(B) P(A \land B)$
- Conditional probability: $P(A|B) = P(A \land B)/P(B)$.



See you next week!



Tamás Biró, Yale U., Language and Computation

p. 21